

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Ĺ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,437	12/06/2001	F. Murphy Sprinkel JR.	033018-070	7405
7590 04/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Peter K. Skiff			EREZO, DARWIN P	
BURNS, DOAL	NE, SWECKER & MATI	HIS, L.L.P.		
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2009	<

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/003,437	SPRINKEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Darwin P. Erezo	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 February 2005.					
•	_					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>26-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>26-31</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact to the specific state of the contact to the specific state of the speci	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey prection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Book * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/003,437

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 27, 28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 26 recites a Markush group limitation consisting of

- (a) thermally decomposing a metal salt;
- (b) heating a metal powder;
- (c) reduction of a metal oxide;
- (d) coating the passage with resistive ink;
- (e) electrolessly depositing of one or more layers of metal; and
- (f) vapor depositing a metal.

Though claims 27, 28, 30 and 31 can further limit the limitations of group (a)-(c), and (e), they fails to further limit groups (d) and (f). That is, the recited steps in claims are not required for groups (d) and (f). Therefore, the claims are rendered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/003,437 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,656,738 to Vogel et al. in view of US 6,344,155 to Kitahara et al.

(claims 26, 27, 30 and 31) Vogel teaches a method of manufacturing a fluid vaporizing device comprising: providing a fluid passage in body (Fig. 3A), the fluid passage having an inlet opening and an outlet opening; and forming a tubular heater by depositing a thin resistive film inside the passage (col. 6, lines 41-42), the heater being operable to volatilize fluid in the passage by passing an electrical current through the film. Vogel is silent with regards to the type of depositing step for the ITO material. Kitahara teaches a method of electrolessly depositing various metals, including ITO, to a surface (col. 8, lines 54-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the step of electrolessly depositing ITO, since such method of deposition of said material is well known in the art, as disclosed by Kitahara. Furthermore, the process itself of electroless depositing a metal is known in the art, as stated by the applicant in the disclosure (page 19, line 1). (claim 28) Vogel teaches conductive contacts 86.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-31 during the personal interview held on 2/2/05 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GLENN K. DAWSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

de